RESEARCH ARTICLE



# How unique is the tiger beetle fauna (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae) of the Balkan Peninsula?

## Radomir Jaskuła

Department of Invertebrate Zoology and Hydrobiology, University of Łódź, Banacha 12/16, 90-237 Łódź, Poland

Corresponding author: Radomir Jaskuła (radekj@biol.uni.lodz.pl)

Academic editor: Th. Assmann | Received 30 November 2009 | Accepted 31 January 2011 | Published 20 May 2011

**Citation:** Jaskuła R (2011) How unique is the tiger beetle fauna (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae) of the Balkan Peninsula? In: Kotze DJ, Assmann T, Noordijk J, Turin H, Vermeulen R (Eds) Carabid Beetles as Bioindicators: Biogeographical, Ecological and Environmental Studies. ZooKeys 100: 487–502. doi: 10.3897/zookeys.100.1542

#### **Abstract**

The tiger beetle fauna of the Balkan Peninsula is one of the richest in Europe and includes 19 species or 41% of the European tiger beetle fauna. Assembled by their biogeographical origins, the Balkan tiger beetle species fall into 14 different groups that include, Mediterranean, Middle Oriental, Central Asiatic, Euro-Siberian, South and East European, Pannonian-Sarmatian, West Palaearctic, Turano-European and Afrotropico Indo-Mediterranean species. The Mediterranean Sclerophyl and the Pontian Steppe are the Balkan biogeographical provinces with the highest species richness, while the Balkan Highlands has the lowest Cicindelidae diversity. Most species are restricted to single habitat types in lowland areas of the Balkan Peninsula and only *Calomera aulica aulica* and *Calomera littoralis nemoralis* occur in respectively 3 and 4 different types of habitat. About 60% of all Balkan Cicindelidae species are found in habitats potentially endangered by human activity.

#### **Keywords**

Balkan Peninsula, biodiversity, distribution, Europe, zoogeography

#### Introduction

Tiger beetles occur world-wide, with the exception of the polar regions and some oceanic islands (Cassola and Pearson 2000, Pearson and Vogler 2001). Detailed studies from different regions show that many species have narrow habitat specialization and occur only in one or at most in few very similar types of habitat. As a result, tiger

beetles have become a significant global flagship group for beetle conservation used as biological indicators for determining global and regional patterns of biodiversity (Knisley and Hill 1992; Pearson and Cassola 1992, 2007; Carroll and Pearson 1998a, b; Andriamampianina et al 2000; Pearson and Vogler 2001; Arndt et al. 2005). In most species, adult beetles are diurnal and highly mobile, while larvae are sedentary and live in burrows constructed in the substrate where the eggs are oviposited (Pearson 1988). Both imagines and larvae are predators that prey on small invertebrates, a characteristic that makes them potentially natural biological controls of pests with an economic value (Rodriguez et al. 1998).


The Balkan Peninsula is part of the Mediterranean basin. It is one of the 25 most important world hotspot areas of biodiversity (Myers et al. 2000). Together with two other South European peninsulas, the Iberian and the Italian, the Balkans were the most important terrestrial Pleistocene glacial refugia in Europe. Phylogeographical studies on many different groups of animals and plants show that these areas are regions from which the re-colonisation of northern Europe started after the last glaciation period (Hewitt 1996, 1999; Blonden and Aronson 1999; Thompson 2005). Weiss and Ferrand (2007) suggest that high biodiversity of the South European Peninsulas, including the Balkan Peninsula, can be explained by relatively high climatic stabilization of this region as well as heterogeneous landscapes occurring in this area. Moreover, the Balkans have served as an important natural bridge for historical dispersal between Asia Minor and northern, western and central Europe (Crnobrnja-Isailovic 2007).

The first data on the tiger beetle fauna of the Balkan Peninsula were published at the end of the 19<sup>th</sup> and beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century (Reitter 1881; Horn and Roeschke 1891; Apfelbeck 1904–1907). Since then, more than 40 papers have been published on this topic, many of which however only describe information on a single species or present incomplete faunistic and taxonomic data. Recently more complete information on the fauna of some regions have been summarized for Bulgaria (Guéorguiev and Guéorguiev 1995), Montenegro (Jaskuła et al. 2005), Albania (Guéorguiev 2007; Jaskuła 2007a), Romania (Cassola and Jaskuła 2004; Jaskuła 2007b), Greece (Franzen 2005; Jaskuła et al. – in preparation) and the European part of Turkey (Cassola 1999; Avgın and Özdikmen 2007).

The aim of this paper is to summarize knowledge on the diversity of tiger beetles in the Balkan Peninsula with particular emphasis on total group diversity, zoogeographical composition, distribution, and ecological preferences of the species.

## Study area

We can define the Balkan Peninsula as a part of southeastern Europe with its northern boundary at the Danube, Sava and Kupa rivers. The rest of its margins are made up of the Black Sea in the east, the Adriatic Sea in the west, and the Mediterranean Sea (including the Aegean and Ionian seas) in the south (Fig. 1). The region has a combined



**Figure I.** Geographical and administrative divisions of the Balkan Peninsula: **I** Dinaric region **II** Pindus region **III** Tracian-Macedonian region **IV** Balkanid region **V** Danubian plain region **VI** North-Dobroudzha region **AL** Albania **BG** Bulgaria **BH** Bosnia and Herzegovina **CR** Croatia **GR** Greece **KO** Kosovo **MA** Macedonia FYR, **MO** Montenegro **RO** Romania **SB** Serbia **SL** Slovenia **TR** Turkey.

area of ca. 550,000 km<sup>2</sup>, which is nearly 5% of the entire European continent. The peninsula includes twelve countries, seven of which are completely confined to the Balkan Peninsula (Albania, Bulgaria, Greece, Macedonia FYR, Montenegro, Kosovo, and Bosnia-Herzegovina), and five (Romania, Serbia, Croatia, Slovenia, and Turkey) have only a part of their territories on the peninsula.

The largest surface of the Balkan Peninsula is mountainous. Lowlands extend along the lower reaches of rivers that are grouped into three catchments draining into the Adriatic, Aegean, and Black Sea (Reed et al. 2004). Geographically this area is divided into the following main regions: Dinaric, Pindus, Tracian-Macedonic, Balkanic, Danubian plain, and North-Dobroudzha (Fig. 1).

According to Udvardy (1975) the Balkan Peninsula belongs to three main biogeographical provinces (Fig. 2): Mediterranean Sclerophyl – which includes European parts of Turkey, the Adriatic coast of Albania, Montenegro, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Croatia and Slovenia, and the sea coast of continental Greece; Balkan Highlands – with mountain areas of Bulgaria, Albania, Montenegro, Kosovo, Bosnia-Herzegovina, Serbia (except Voivodina), and partly also the mountains of Greece, Croatia and Slovenia, as well as the southern part of the Bulgarian Black Sea Coast; Pontian Steppe – the smallest area of the Balkans with only a small part of the northeastern Bulgarian Black Sea Coast and southeastern Romania, with its northern border at the Danube Delta.

#### Material and methods

The basis for this analysis of Balkan tiger beetles comes from published literature data; such as museum collections of the Museum and the Institute of Zoology, Polish Academy of Sciences (Warsaw, Poland), Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences (Brussels, Belgium), Zoological Museum (Copenhagen, Denmark), Finnish Museum of Natural History (Helsinki, Finland), University of Montenegro (Podgorica, Montenegro); and original collections made by the author in the years 2005–2009 during five scientific expeditions covering almost all Balkan countries ("I-III Amphi-Balkan expeditions" and "Ist and IIIrd TB-Quest expeditions").

Tiger beetle species richness and distribution of taxa were analysed based on squares of 1° latitude and longitude. In each square the number of all species recorded was summarized. Similarities among tiger beetle fauna between geographical units were measured using the Bray-Curtis index for presence/absence data (Primer v.2.0). Jaccard's (1902) index was used to present the degree of dissimilarity between zoogeographic regions distinguished by Udvardy (1975):

R = 100c/a + b - c

where: a = number of species in the richest fauna; b = number of species in the poorest fauna, c = number of species comon to both faunas.


Chorotypes follow Vigna Taglianti et al. (1999).

### Results

## Diversity of tiger beetles in the Balkan Peninsula

According to Putchkov and Matalin (2003), López et al. (2006) and Fauna Europea Web Service (2004) 49 tiger beetle species occur in Europe. Of these, 19 species have been found in the area of the Balkan Peninsula (Table 1), or 39% of all European tiger

beetle species. This number increases to 41% if three species known only from Mediterranean islands of Europe are excluded (*Cephalota tibialis* – Cyprus, *Calomera lunulata* – Sicily, *Habrodera nilotica* – Canary Islands). The Balkan species belong to five genera (55.5% of European fauna) including: *Myriochila* (1 species, 50% of European species), *Cephalota* (4 species, 33%), *Calomera* (4 species, 57%), *Cylindera* (4 species, 57%), and *Cicindela* (7 species, 41%). Only four European genera – *Megacephala*, *Lophyra*, *Cassolaia* and *Habrodera* do not occur in this area. Two taxa (*Cicindela campestris oliviera* and *C. monticola albanica*) are endemic to this area. Additionally, for eleven species the Balkan Peninsula is the only place in Europe where they occur (having also distributions outside Europe).



**Figure 2.** Tiger beetle faunas in the biogeographical provinces of the Balkan Peninsula (division after Udvardy 1975): light grey – Mediterranean Sclerophyl, dark grey – Pontian Steppe, black – Balkan Highlands. Numbers in the circles indicate the number of cicindelid taxa for the separate regions and the squares give the number of taxa common to the provinces shared.

| Region                 | Area<br>(km²) | Number of species | Species density (species number/1000 km²) |  |  |  |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Balkan Peninsula       | 550 000       | 19                | 0.034                                     |  |  |  |
| Iberian Peninsula      | 580 000       | 19                | 0.033                                     |  |  |  |
| Italian Peninsula      | 150 000       | 13                | 0.086                                     |  |  |  |
| Scandinavian Peninsula | 800 000       | 5                 | 0.006                                     |  |  |  |
| France (mainland)      | 675 000       | 14                | 0.021                                     |  |  |  |
| Ukraine                | 603 700       | 19                | 0.031                                     |  |  |  |
| Russia (European part) | 4 268 850     | 23                | 0.005                                     |  |  |  |

**Table 1.** Comparison of area and tiger beetle species richness of some European regions [based on Putchkov and Matalin (2003) and Fauna Europea Web Service (2005)].

The number of Balkan tiger beetle species is high compared with the number noted from other European regions with similar sized areas, especially north of the Balkan Peninsula (Table 1). Moreover, the diversity of the tiger beetle fauna in the studied area is similar to the fauna known from the entire territory of the European part of Russia. Among European regions with a similar area, only the Iberian Peninsula and the Ukraine exhibit similar numbers of tiger beetle species.


Balkan Cicindelidae belong to 14 different groups according to their geographical origin (Vigna Taglianti et al. 1999, Table 2). Except Balkan endemics and Mediterranean species, representatives of Middle Oriental, Central Asiatic, Euro-Siberian, South and East European, Pannonian-Sarmatian, West Palaearctic, Turano-European, or even Afrotropico Indo-Mediterranean taxa can be found in this area.

| <b>Table 2.</b> Chorotypes of | Balkan | tiger be | etles (afte | er Vigna Ta | glianti et al. | 1999). |
|-------------------------------|--------|----------|-------------|-------------|----------------|--------|
|                               |        |          |             |             |                |        |


| Balkan endemics                  | Cicindela campestris oliviera, C. monticola albanica            |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|
| Mediterranean                    | Calomera littoralis nemoralis, Cephalota circumdata circumdata, |
|                                  | Calomera aulica aulica                                          |
| East Mediterranean               | Calomera concolor                                               |
| West Mediterranean               | Cylindera trisignata trisignata                                 |
| Middle Oriental                  | Calomera fischeri                                               |
| Central Asiatic                  | Cephalota chiloleuca, Cylindera contorta contorta               |
| Northeast Mediterranean (Aegean) | Cephalota turcica, Cylindera trisignata hellenica               |
| East European                    | Cephalota elegans stigmatohora                                  |
| West Palaearctic                 | Cicindela campestris campestris, Cylindera germanica germanica, |
|                                  | Cicindela hybrida                                               |
| Turano-European                  | Cicindela monticola rumelica                                    |
| South European                   | Cicindela sylvicola, Cylindera germanica muelleri               |
| Pannonian-Sarmatian              | Cicindela soluta pannonica                                      |
| Euro-Siberian                    | Cicindela sylvatica, Cylindera arenaria viennensis              |
| Afrotropico Indo-Mediterranean   | Myriochila melancholica melancholica                            |

## Distribution of tiger beetles in the Balkans

Within the Balkan Peninsula, species richness of particular regions differs both in number of taxa and species composition. Records from the literature and my own observations within single squares of 1° latitude and longitude show that the highest numbers of tiger beetle species are along sea coasts (Fig. 3). Moreover, within biogeographic provinces as definied by Udvardy (1975) the greatest tiger beetle species richness in the Balkan Peninsula is found in the Mediterranean Sclerophyl region (13 species, 68% of the Balkan fauna), and somewhat lower in the Pontian Steppe (10 species, 52%), and the Balkan Highlands (12 species, 63%). This, despite the fact that the Balkan Highlands cover a part of the peninsula that is larger than both previous biogeographical provinces combined. Moreover, the Balkan part of the Pontian Steppe



**Figure 3.** Species richness of tiger beetles within the Balkan Peninsula. The colour gradient indicates an enhanced diversity from one species (white square) to eight (black square).



**Figure 4.** Similarities among tiger beetle faunas inhabiting regions of the Balkan Peninsula (Bray-Curtis similarity index for presence/absence data).

is almost 17 times smaller than the Balkan Highlands and about ten times smaller than the Mediterranean Sclerophyl (Fig. 2).

Bray-Curtis analysis of similarities among tiger beetle faunas from different Balkan geographical regions shows the presence of three main clades (Fig. 4). The Dinaric, Tracio-Macedonian and Balkanic regions group mainly mountain areas, with lowlands only as very small parts, and covers a great part of Udvardy's (1975) Balkan Highlands. The Danubian plain and North-Dobroudzha regions compose the second group, mentioned in biogeographic studies as the Pontian Steppe and north-eastern part of the Balkan Highlands. Clearly different is the Pindus area, which covers a large area of the Mediterranean Sclerophyl province. The Jaccard's similarity index for Mediterranean Sclerophyl – Pontian Steppe was 53%, for Mediterranean Sclerophyl – Balkan Highland was 47%, and for Pontian Steppe – Balkan Highland was 38% (Fig. 4).

# Ecotypes of Balkan Cicindelidae

The most eurytopic species are *Calomera littoralis nemoralis* and *Calomera aulica aulica* (Table 3), occupying four and three habitats respectively. Ten species have been found occurring in only one type of habitat, including three *Cephalota* species in saltmarshes,

**Table 3.** Tiger beetles of the Balkan Peninsula and their ecological distribution: I salt marshes 2 sandy sea beaches 3 banks of rivers 4 banks of lakes 5 forest roads 6 mountain and highland pastures 7 flat coastal rocks (based on literature data and personal observations).


| No. | Species                                           | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 1   | Calomera aulica aulica                            | + | + |   |   |   |   | + |
| 2   | Calomera concolor concolor                        |   | + |   |   |   |   |   |
| 3   | Calomera fischeri fischeri                        |   |   | + |   |   |   |   |
| 4   | Calomera littoralis nemoralis                     | + | + | + | + |   |   |   |
| 5   | Cephalota (Cephalota) turcica                     | + |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 6   | Cephalota (Taenidia) chiloleuca                   | + |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 7   | Cephalota (Taenidia) circumdata circumdata        | + |   |   |   |   |   |   |
| 8   | Cephalota (Taenidia) elegans stigmatophora        | + |   | + |   |   |   |   |
| 9   | Cicindela (Cicindela) campestris                  |   |   |   |   | + | + |   |
| 10  | Cicindela (Cicindela) hybrida                     |   |   | + |   |   | + |   |
| 11  | Cicindela (Cicindela) monticola albanica          |   |   | + |   |   |   |   |
| 12  | Cicindela (Cicindela) soluta pannonica            |   |   | + |   |   |   |   |
| 13  | Cicindela (Cicindela) sylvatica                   |   |   |   |   | + |   |   |
| 14  | Cicindela (Cicindela) sylvicola                   |   |   |   |   | + | + |   |
| 15  | Cylindera (Cylindera) germanica                   | + |   |   |   |   | + |   |
| 16  | Cylindera (Eugrapha) arenaria viennensis          |   |   | + |   |   |   |   |
| 17  | Cylindera (Eugrapha) contorta contorta            |   | + |   |   |   |   |   |
| 18  | Cylindera (Eugrapha) trisignata                   | + | + |   |   |   |   |   |
| 19  | Myriochila (Myriochila) melancholica melancholica | + |   | + |   |   |   |   |
|     | Total                                             | 9 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 3 | 4 | 1 |

three species restricted to sandy sea coasts (*Calomera concolor*, *Cylindera contorta*, *C. trisignata*), four to river banks (*Calomera fischeri*, *Cicindela sahlbergii albanica*, *C. soluta pannonica*, *Cylindera arenaria viennensis*), and one – *Cicindela sylvatica*, to forested sandy areas. Another five species were noted as occurring only in two types of habitat. Among all these tiger beetles, fifteen species (79% of the fauna) can be classified as coastal and riverine taxa, occurring in habitats adjacent to water, such as sea coasts, salt marshes (including lagoons and estuaries), and banks of rivers and freshwater lakes.

#### Discussion and conclusions

# Diversity and distribution of tiger beetles in the Balkan Peninsula

Compared to the area size of other regions of Europe, the diversity of tiger beetles of the Balkan Peninsula is high and constitutes about 41% of all European tiger beetle species. This result confirms an important role of the Balkans as a biodiversity hotspot noted earlier for many other groups of organisms (Blonden and Aronson 1999, Kryštufek and Reed 2004, Thompson 2005). The high diversity of tiger beetles in the Balkans can be explained by two characteristics. The first is the topographic position



**Plate I.** Balkan tiger beetle species: **A** Calomera littoralis nemoralis **B** C. f. fischeri **C** Cephalota chiloleuca **D** C. c. circumdata **E** Cicindela sylvicola **F** C. campestris oliviera **G** Cylindera trisignata hellenica **H** Myriochila m. melancholica.

of this area within the European continent – the Peninsula was (and still is) a natural dispersal bridge for faunas from the Middle East and West, North and East Europe. The second is the high diversity of open habitats prefered by these beetles, including salt marshes, salty lagoons, sandy beaches, river banks, steppes, or mountain areas.

The Balkan Peninsula is inhabited by a mixed tiger beetle fauna with representatives of 19 species belonging to 14 different groups according to their geographical origin (Table 2). Such a mosaic of faunal elements clearly suggests an important role of the Balkan Peninsula as a natural geographic "bridge" between Europe and Asia Minor for this group in the past. Similar patterns have been noted also among other groups of insects (Kenyeres et al. 2009), spiders (Deltshev 1999, 2000, 2004), amphibians and reptiles (Crnobrnja-Isailovic 2007; Džukić and Kalezić 2004), mammals (Kryštufek 2004) and plants (Thompson 2005).

A high level of landscape heterogeneity also helps in explaining the general distribution pattern of tiger beetle species within the Balkan Peninsula and their higher species richness in the lowlands. Sandy habitats preferred both by larvae and adult are more diverse at sea coasts than those found in mountain areas. This patterns for Balkan tiger beetles is similar to that reported from other regions of the Mediterranean area (Cassola 1970, 1973, Lisa 2002, Jaskuła – unpublished). Moreover, a higher diversity of tiger beetles along sea coasts over that found in mountain areas has been found on the Indian subcontinent and in western and northern Australia (Pearson and Cassola 1992). It is most likely attributed to high habitat diversity occurring in lower altitudes (sandy beaches, salt marshes, lagoons, dunes, ect).

# Ecological preferences in Balkan Cicindelidae

The narrow specialization to habitat type recorded for most of the Balkan tiger beetle species is similar to that in tiger beetles occurring in other regions of the world, both for adults and larvae. For example, of the 151 species noted on the Indian subcontinent by Acciavatti and Pearson (1989) only one – Calochroa flavomaculata Hope – was recorded from several different habitat types. In Australia among 29 species only two - Myriochila mastersi Castelnau and M. semicincta Brulle - occur found as occurring in several habitat types (Freitag 1979). In the Tambopata Reserve Zone (Madre de Dios, Peru) only one of 29 species - Odontocheila annulicornis Brulle - occurred in more than one forest habitat type (Pearson 1984), and of the 20 species noted in the Sulphur Springs Valley (Arizona, USA) only Cicindelidia nigrocoerulea Leconte was recorded as inhabiting more than one habitat type (Knisley and Pearson 1984). Moreover, the specialization can be so narrow that species occurrence can be restricted to only a small part of a particular habitat. Schultz and Hadley (1987) showed during their studies of two riparian species in the USA that Cicindela oregona Leconte occurred mainly at stream edges while Cicindela tranquebarica (Herbst) preferred dry areas. Also Ganeshaiah and Belavadi (1986) noted that four tiger beetle species segregated distinctly along river beds into separate microhabitats in India. In the Balkans, I observed similarly narrow microhabitat specialization in the Evros river delta (eastern Greece) for *Calomera littoralis nemoralis* (wet sand), *Cephalota circumdata circumdata*, *Cylindera trisignata hellenica* (dry parts of river bed), and in the Danube river delta (eastern Romania) for *Cephalota chiloleuca* (drier salt marsh substrate), and *Calomera littoralis nemoralis* (edge of reservoirs).

Such narrow specialization to habitat/microhabitat types among tiger beetle species is explained by physiological (Schultz and Hadley 1987, Hadley et al. 1990), morphological (Pearson and Mury 1979, Schultz and Hadley 1987), and behavioural (Knisley and Pearson 1981, Pearson and Lederhouse 1987) adaptations of adults and larvae.

Most Balkan tiger beetles occupy sandy habitats localized in lowlands, mainly on the sea coasts and in river deltas (Table 3). More than 90% of south-east European salt marshes are found in the Balkan Peninsula (Dijkema 1984). As a result of human activity some of these areas have been significantly altered (Saveljić 2008, Davy et al. 2009) and are threatened. Therefore, this habitat is included among important biodiversity sites in the European Union's Habitats Directive and Water Framework Directive (Directive 1992, 2000). Given the ecological distribution of Balkan tiger beetles (Table 3), at least 42% of the recorded species occur in these threatened environments. Moreover, studies of *Calomera* species show that some tiger beetles characteristic of coastal sandy beaches are negatively influenced by tourist activity and rapid development of tourist infrastructure (Arndt et al. 2005). If valid for the Balkan Peninsula, this adds an additional two or three species to the list of potentially threatened tiger beetles, and a total of almost 60% of all Balkan tiger beetle fauna. What more, the Balkan Peninsula is a biogeographical melting pot, and a transition zone where faunal elements of various origins meet. Thus, such a biogeographical structure, unique both at a scale of the southeastern Mediterranean region and the entire European continent, is particularly vulnerable to deterioration.

Hopefully the plight of these tiger beetles will help focus the attention of biologists, ecologists, and nature conservationists on the Balkan Peninsula as an important European hotspot area for conserving biodiversity of the European fauna.

# **Acknowledgements**

I would like to express my thanks to Michał Grabowski who first focused my attention on the Balkan tiger beetle fauna. Piotr Jóźwiak prepared maps used in this paper (both from the University of Łódź, Łódź, Poland). Finally thanks are due to two anonymous reviewers for their valuable remarks and language corrections. The research was partly financially supported by SYNTHESYS Project AT-TAF-418.

## References

- Acciavatti RE, Pearson DL (1989) The tiger beetle genus *Cicindela* (Coleoptera, Insecta) from the Indian subcontinent. Annals of Carnegie Museum 58: 77–353.
- Andriamampianina L, Kremen C, Vane-Wright D, Lees D, Razafimahatratra V (2000) Taxic richness patterns and conservation of Madagascar tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Journal of Insect Conservation 4: 109–128. doi: 10.1023/A:1009667712512
- Apfelbeck V (1904) Die Käferfauna der Balkanhalbinsel, mit Berücksichtigung Klei-Asien und der Insel Kreta. Erstes Band: Familienreihe Caraboidea. I. Familie Cicindelidae. R.Friedländer und Sohn, Berlin, 422pp.
- Apfelbeck V (1907) koleopterologische Ergebnisse der mit Subvention der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien im Frühjahr 1905 ausgeführten Forschungsreise nach Albanien und Montenegro. Sitzungsberichte der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, Mathematisch-naturwissenschaftliche Klass (Abtl) 116: 493–506.
- Arndt E, Aydin N, Aydin G (2005) Tourism impairs tiger beetle (Cicindelidae) populations a case study in a Mediterranean beach habitat. Journal of Insect Conservation 9: 201–206. doi: 10.1007/s10841-005-6609-9
- Avgin S, Özdikmen H (2007) Check-list of tiger beetles of Turkey with review of distribution and biogeography (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Munis Entomology and Zoology 2(1): 87–102.
- Blonden J, Aronson J (1999) The Mediterranean Region: Biological Diversity through Space and Time. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 328pp.
- Carroll SS, Pearson DL (1998a) Spatial modelling of butterfly species richness using tiger beetles (Cicindelidae) as bioindicator taxon. Ecological Applications 8: 531–543. doi: 10.1890/1051-0761(1998)008[0531:SMOBSR]2.0.CO;2
- Carroll SS, Pearson DL (1998b) The effects of scale and sample size on the accuracy of spatial predictions of tiger beetle (Cicindelidae) species richness. Ecography 21: 401–414. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0587.1998.tb00405.x
- Cassola F (1970) The Cicindelidae of Italy. Cicindela 2 (4): 1–20.
- Cassola F (1973) Etudes sur les Cicindelides. VI. Contribution a la connaissance des Cicindelides du Maroc (Coleoptera Cicindelidae). Bulletin de la Société de Sciences Naturelles et Physiques du Maroc 53 (1–2): 253–268.
- Cassola F (1999) Studies on tiger beetles. CVII. The cicindelid fauna of Anatolia: faunistics and biogeography (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae). Biogeographia 20: 229–276.
- Cassola F, Jaskuła R (2004) Material to the knowledge of the tiger beetles of Romania (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Polskie Pismo Entomologiczne 73: 193–214.
- Cassola F, Pearson DL (2000) Global patterns of tiger beetle species richness (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae): their use in conservation planning. Biological Conservation 95: 197–208. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3207(00)00034-3
- Crnobrnja-Isailovic J (2007) Cross-section of a refugium: genetic diversity of amphibian and reptile populations in the Balkans. In: Weiss S, Ferand N (Eds) Phylogeography of Southern European Refugia. Springer, 327–337.

- Davy AJ, Bakker JP, Figueroa ME (2009) Human modification of European salt marshes. In: Silliman BR, Bertness MD, Grosholz ED (Eds) Human impact on salt marshes a global perspective. University of California Press, Berkeley-Los Angeles-London, 311–336.
- Deltshev C (1999) A faunistic and zoogeographical review of the spiders (Araneae) of the Balkan Peninsula. Journal of Arachnology 27: 255–261.
- Deltshev C (2000) The endemic spiders (Araneae) of the Balkan Peninsula. Ekológia 19, Supl. 3: 59–65.
- Deltshew C (2004) A zoogeographical review of spiders of the Balkan Peninsula. In: Griffiths HI, Kryštufek B, Reed JM (Eds) Balkan biodiversity: pattern and process in the European hotspot. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 193–200.
- Dijkema KS (1984) Saltmarshes in Europe. Nature and Environment Series 30. Council of Europe, Strasbourg.
- Directive 1992/43/EEC on the Conservation of natural habitats and of wild fauna and flora.
- Directive 2000/60/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 23 October 2000 establishing a framework for Community action in the field of water policy.
- Džukić G, Kalezić ML (2004) The biodiversity of amphibians and reptiles in the Balkan Peninsula. In: Griffiths HI, Kryštufek B, Reed JM (Eds) Balkan biodiversity: pattern and process in the European hotspot. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 187–192.
- Fauna Europaea Web Service (2004) Fauna Europaea version 1.1, http://www.faunaeur.org Franzen M (2005) Verbreitung und Lebensräume der Sandlaufkäfer der Peloponnes-Halbinsel,
- Griechenland (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae). Nachrichtenblatt der Byerischen Entomologen 55 (3/4): 46–64.
- Freitag R (1979) Reclassification, phylogeny and zoogeography of the Australian species of *Cicindela* (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Australian Journal of Zoology (Supplementary Series) 66: 1–99.
- Ganeshaiah KM, Belavadi VV (1986) Habitat segregation in four species of adult tiger beetles (Coleoptera; Cicindelidae). Ecological Entomology 11: 147–154. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.1986.tb00289.x
- Guéorguiev BV (2007) Annotated catalogue of the carabid beetles of Albania (Coleoptera: Carabidae). Pensoft Publishers, Sofia-Moscow, 243pp.
- Guéorguiev VB, Guéorguiev BV (1995) Catalogue of the ground beetles of Bulgaria (Coleoptera: Carabidae). Pensoft Publishers, Sofia-Moscow, 279pp.
- Hadley NF, Knisley CB, Schultz TD, Pearson DL (1990) Water relations of tiger beetle larvae (*Cicindela marutha*): correlations with habitat microclimate and burrowing activity. Journal of Arid Environments 19: 189–197.
- Hewitt GM (1996) Some genetic consequences of ice ages, and their role in divergence and speciation. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 58: 247–276.
- Hewitt GM (1999) Post-glacial re-colonization of European biota. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 68: 87–112. doi: 10.1111/j.1095-8312.1999.tb01160.x
- Horn W, Roeschke H (1891) Bestimmungs-Tabelen der europäischen Coleopteren. XXIII. Heft. Enthaltend die Familie der Cicindelidae. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift, Biheft i-ix, 199pp.

- Jaccard P (1902) Lois de distribution florale dans la zone alpine. Bull. Soc. Vaudoise Sci. Nat. 38: 69–130.
- Jaskuła R (2007a) Remarks on distribution and diversity of the tiger beetle fauna (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae) of Albania. Fragmenta Faunistica 50 (2): 127–138.
- Jaskuła R (2007b) Furthern records of tiger beetles from Romania (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Cicindela 39 (1–2): 27–34.
- Jaskuła R (in prep.) Catalogue of tiger beetles of the Balkan Peninsula.
- Jaskuła R, Peśić V, Pavicević D (2005) Remarks on distribution and diversity of the tiger beetle fauna of Montenegro (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Fragmenta Funistica 4 (1): 15–25.
- Jaskuła R, Rewicz T, Janusz M (in prep.) Notes on tiger beetles of Greece (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae).
- Kenyeres Z, Racz IA, Varga Z (2009) Endemism hot spots, core areas and disjunctions in European Orthoptera. Acta zoologica cracoviensia 52B (1–2): 189–211.
- Knisley CB, Hill JM (1992) Effects of habitat change from ecological succession and human impact on tiger beetles. Virginia Journal of Science 43: 134–142.
- Knisley CB, Pearson DL (1981) The function of turret building behaviour in the larval tiger beetle, *Cicindela willistoni* (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Ecological Entomology 6: 401–410. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2311.1981.tb00631.x
- Knisley CB, Pearson DL (1984) Biosystematics of larval tiger beetles of the Sulphur Springs Valley, Arizona. Transactions of the American Entomological Society 110: 465–551.
- Kryštufek B (2004) A quantitative assessment of Balkan mammal diversity. In: Griffiths HI, Kryštufek B, Reed JM (Eds) Balkan biodiversity: pattern and process in the European hotspot. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 79–108.
- Kryštufek B, Reed M (2004) Patterns and Process in Balkan Biodiversity an overview. In: Griffiths HI, Kryctufek B, Reed JM (Eds) Balkan Biodiversity: Pattern and Process in the European Hotspot. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 203–217.
- Lisa T (2002) Le *Cicindela* d'Italia. Revue de l'Association Roussillonnaise d'Entomologie, Supl. 1: 1–55.
- López MA, de la Rosa JJ, Baena M (2006) Descripción de *Cephalota (Taenidia) dulcynea* sp. n. de la Península Ibérica (Coleoptera, Cicindelidae). Boletin Sociedad Entomólogica Aragonesa 39: 165–170.
- Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Jennifer K (2000) Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. Nature 403: 853–858. doi: 10.1038/35002501
- Pearson DL (1984) The tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae) of the Tambopata Reserved Zone, Madre e Dios, Perú. Revista Peruana de Entomologia 27: 15–24.
- Pearson DL (1988) Biology of tiger beetles. Annual Review of Entomology 33: 123–147. doi: 10.1146/annurev.en.33.010188.001011
- Pearson DL, Cassola F (1992) World-wide species richness patterns of tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae): indicator taxon for biodiversity and conservation studies. Conservation Biology 6: 376–391. doi: 10.1046/j.1523-1739.1992.06030376.x
- Pearson DL, Cassola F (2007) Are we doomed to repeat history? A model of the past using tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae) and conservation biology to anticipate the future. Journal of Insect Conservation 11: 47–59. doi: 10.1007/s10841-006-9018-9

- Pearson DL, Lederhouse RC (1987) Thermal ecology and the structure of an assemblage of adult tiger beetles (Cicindelidae). Oikos 50: 247–255. doi: 10.2307/3566008
- Pearson DL, Mury EJ (1979) Character divergence and convergence among tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae). Ecology 60: 557–566. doi: 10.2307/1936076
- Pearson DL, Vogler AP (2001) Tiger beetles: the evolution, ecology and diversity of the cicindelids. Cornell University Press. Ithaca and London, 333pp.
- Putchkov AV, Matalin AV (2003) Subfamily Cicindelinae Latreille, 1802. In: Löbl L, Smetana A (Eds) Catalogue of Palaearctic Coleoptera. V.1. Archeostemata Myxophaga Adephaga. Apollo Books, Strenstrup, 99–118.
- Reed JM, Kryštufek B, Eastwood WJ (2004) The physical geography of the Balkans and nomenclature of place names. In: Griffiths HI, Kryštufek B, Reed JM (Eds) Balkan biodiversity: pattern and process in the European hotspot. Kluwer, Dordrecht, 9–22.
- Reitter E (1881) Neue und seltere Coleopteren, im Jahre 1880 in Süddalmatien und Montenegro gesammelt und beschrieben. Deutsche Entomologische Zeitschrift 25 (1): 179–181.
- Rodriguez JP, Pearson DL, Barrera RR (1998) A test for adequacy of bioindicator taxa: are tiger beetles (Coleoptera: Cicindelidae) appropriate indicators for monitoring the degradation of tropical forests in Venezuela? Biological Conservation 83 (1): 69–76. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3207(97)00017-7
- Saveljić D (2008) Eco-guide to lagoon ecosystems of Montenegro. Tra Terra e Mare 2: 5–119. Schultz TD, Hadley NF (1987) Microhabitat segregation and physiological differences in co-occurring tiger beetle species, *Cicindela oregona* and *Cicindela tranquebarica*. Oecologia 73: 363–370. doi: 10.1007/BF00385252
- Thompson JD (2005) Plan evolution in the Mediterranean. Oxford University Press, New York, 293pp. doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198515340.001.0001
- Udvardy MDF (1975) A classification of the biogeographical provinces of the world. IUCN Occasional Paper No.18 International Union for Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources. 49pp.
- Vigna Taglianti A, Audisio PA, Biondi M, Bologna MA, Carpaneto GM, De Biase A, Fattorini S, Piattella E, Sindaco R, Venchi A, Zapparoli M (1999) A proposal for a chorotype classification of the Near East fauna, in the framework of the Western Plearctic region. Biogeographia 20: 31–59.
- Weiss S, Ferrand N (2007) Current perspective in phylogeography and the significance of South European refugia in the creation and maintenance of European biodiversity. In: Weiss S, Ferrand N (Eds) Phylogeography of Southern European Refugia. Springer, 341–357.